S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904

(Madurai)

File No. 114

Serial Nos. 1—4

Papers Filed.

Month March 1906.

SUBJECT.

Petition from Srinivasiah, Parpatthegar of the Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Madduru, praying that his appointment may be made hereditary.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 114-04

SUBJECT.

Petition from Srinivasiah, Parpattegar of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Maddur, prayes that his appointment maybe made hereditary.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

Letter No. 402, Dated 3rd February 1906.

One Srinivasiah, Parpattegar of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Maddur, Mandya Taluk, memorialized H H the Maharaja, complaining that the hereditary nature of the appointment held by him was done away with, during the revision of the temple tasdik patti in the year 1896, and praying that the appointment might be made hereditary. The memorial was transferred to this Office for disposal under cover of the Private Secretary's Memo No dated 6th June 1905.

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

The Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District, to whom the memorial had been forwarded for report, states that when the temple tasdik patti was revised in 1896, it was directed that should the appointment of Parpattegar become vacant, it should not be filled up and his pay, viz Rs 2-8-0 per mensem, brought under savings, the duties attaching thereto however being performed by the temple Shanbhogue in addition to his own without any extra remuneration. The Deputy Commissioner also forwards a copy of the ~~then~~ revised ~~Muzrai Secretary~~ Tasdik Patti, so far as concerns the Parpattegar, and against his name, it is also found that a remark is made by the late Muzrai Secretary Rai Bahadur M. A. Sreenivasacharlu, that the petitioner who even then was the Parpattegar was negligent of his duties. Even in the present communication, Assistant Commissioner M. H. Sreenivasa Rao observes that the petitioner does not ~~he~~ reside at Maddur where he holds his appointment and is otherwise careless in the discharge of his duties. The Assistant Commissioner also

Muzrai Secretariat | File No of 190

recommends the petitioners transfer to Seringapatam Chattram, and in regard to which, the Deputy Commissioner promises to make a separate report

Submitted with a draft
24
19 2 06

Is
22/2/06

Such an executive appointment as that of Pappattegar has not till now been held to be hereditary, nor can it, in the interests of efficiency of work, be held as such. The Petrs request may be rejected
Draft dated for appn

Subd to the Dewan through the Secy } Munisubbarao 23/2/06

184
24 2 06.

Secy
28/2/06

1155
28.2.06

Dn 28/2/06

Draft appd by the Dewan
Dasra Dn 3/3/06

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No of 190

A!

41

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Dated the 10th Jun 1905

R. Jun
No. 2878
8/6/05

4552

Petition as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja, is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, in the Muzroi Department, Bangalore, for disposal.

No. 2019 of 04-05.

By Order,

I. C. S.
Private Secretary
to H. H. The Maharajah of Mysore.

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO H. H. THE
MYSORE

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸ್ಥಳ

ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ನಾನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸ್ಥಳ

ದಿನಾಂಕ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ನಾನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ನಾನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಆಇ/ಸಂಪ್ರಕ/ಕಾಪ್ರ/೨೦೨೫-೨೬ ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೫.೦೭.೨೦೨೫

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಸಂಪರ್ಕ)
ಕಾವೇರಿ ಪ್ರಸರಣ ವಿಭಾಗ
ಕೆ.ಪಿ.ಟಿ.ಸಿ.ಎಲ್, ಮೈಸೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಕಾವೇರಿ ಪ್ರಸರಣ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಚರಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಹೊರಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾರು ಚಾಲಕರನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಕಾವೇರಿ ಪ್ರಸರಣ ವಿಭಾಗದ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಚರಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಹೊರಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾರು ಚಾಲಕರನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಆಸಕ್ತಿಯುಳ್ಳ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳಿಂದ ಮೊಹರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಷರತ್ತುಗಳನ್ನು ಪೂರೈಸಿರಬೇಕು.

೧. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯು ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಅನುಭವ ಹೊಂದಿರಬೇಕು. ಅನುಭವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯು ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ೨೫ ಚಾಲಕರನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುವ ಸಾಮರ್ಥ್ಯ ಹೊಂದಿರಬೇಕು.

೩. ಚಾಲಕರು ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಚಾಲನಾ ಅನುಭವ ಹೊಂದಿರಬೇಕು ಮತ್ತು ಭಾರೀ ವಾಹನ ಚಾಲನಾ ಪರವಾನಗಿ ಹೊಂದಿರಬೇಕು.

೪. ಚಾಲಕರ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಮತ್ತು ವೈದ್ಯಕೀಯ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯು ಒದಗಿಸಬೇಕು.

೫. ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯು ಚಾಲಕರಿಗೆ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ವೇತನ ಕಾಯ್ದೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ವೇತನ ಪಾವತಿಸಬೇಕು.

೬. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಶುಲ್ಕ ₹೫೦೦/- ಮತ್ತು ಭದ್ರತಾ ಠೇವಣಿ ₹೫೦,೦೦೦/- ಪಾವತಿಸಬೇಕು.

೭. ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಅನ್ನು ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾರಣ ನೀಡದೆ ತಿರಸ್ಕರಿಸುವ ಹಕ್ಕು ಕಾವೇರಿ ಪ್ರಸರಣ ವಿಭಾಗಕ್ಕೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಟೆಂಡರ್ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕಚೇರಿ ಕೆಲಸದ ದಿನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದು. ಮೊಹರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ ೧೫.೦೮.೨೦೨೫ ರಂದು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ೧೨ ಗಂಟೆಯವರೆಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು. ಅಂದು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ೧೨.೩೦ ಕ್ಕೆ ಟೆಂಡರ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ತೆರೆಯಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಮಾಹಿತಿಗಾಗಿ ಕಚೇರಿ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಪರ್ಕಿಸಬಹುದು.

ವಂದನೆಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ,

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಭಿಯಂತರರು (ಸಂಪರ್ಕ)
ಕಾವೇರಿ ಪ್ರಸರಣ ವಿಭಾಗ, ಕೆ.ಪಿ.ಟಿ.ಸಿ.ಎಲ್, ಮೈಸೂರು

ಕ್ಷ ಸಮಾಜಾಂಗಿಲಾಲಿಪ್ರಸ್ತೆ ಕೆ ಕಂಪ್ಲಿ ಎಂಡಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಎಂಡಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಎಂಡಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ

ಪ್ರಾಥಮಿಕ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿದ್ದೇನೆ - ಮಾಧ್ಯಮಿಕ ಶಾಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿದ್ದೇನೆ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ ಎಸ್ ಕಂಪ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಕನ್ನಡ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿದ್ದಾರೆ - ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ ಎಸ್ ಕಂಪ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಕನ್ನಡ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿದ್ದಾರೆ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ ಎಸ್ ಕಂಪ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಕನ್ನಡ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿದ್ದಾರೆ - ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ ಎಸ್ ಕಂಪ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಕನ್ನಡ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿದ್ದಾರೆ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ ಎಸ್ ಕಂಪ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಕನ್ನಡ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿದ್ದಾರೆ - ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾಪಕರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ ಎಸ್ ಕಂಪ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಕನ್ನಡ ಮಾಧ್ಯಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಓದಿದ್ದಾರೆ

cl 3

190

From

To

The Dy Commr

Mysore with

Dated 10"

Despatched July 905

Received

General No. 8[illegible]82

No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Has the honor to forward
for [illegible] disposal as report if any
herewith petition regd no
4552/2019 dy 8th June 1905 addd
to H H the Maharaja by
Sreenivasiah Parthasarathy
of the Sri Narasimhaswamy
temple at Maddur, Mandya Tk.

The petr complains
that the hereditary nature
of the appointment
hem was abolis

the revision of the Lasóik Rolli in 1896; and

that it was directed therein that, after him, the Temple Sanhedrin should be given the Parhatheqas' post.

He prays that the appt may be rendered hereditary, as before, so that, after him, it may devolve on his descendants.

By order.

Off) 7/7/05
Secretary

Encl to the petn

6/7/05

913

3356

1905-06.

Mercy 45-06

From
H. H. Sparkes Esqr:
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
L. Anantasawmi Rao Esqr B.A.
Secy: to the Govt of Mysore
Inspn Department

Dated
Despatched 3rd February 06
Received
General No. 402
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submits report on the petition from Srinivasiah, Parpathe-gar of the Sri Varadaraja Swamy temple at Haddur in the Nandya Talak.

Refer please
EA
7.2.06
W
2nd
17/2/06

Office of the Assistant Commissioner
[illegible] District

No. 402/Ear 1[illegible] [illegible] 3rd February 1906

To.

L. Ananthaiah [illegible] B.A.
Secretary to Government
of His H[illegible] Maharaja
of Mysore [illegible] Department
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 81 dated 10th July 1905 forwarding for report petition Registered No. 4552/2019 dated 8th June 1905 (herewith returned) from Srinivasiah, Parpathegar of the Sri Narasimha Swami temple at Maddur in the Mandya Taluk, and reminders thereon ending with your D.O. letter dated 18th January 1906, I have the honor to state that the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the French Rocks group of Taluks to whom the petition was forwarded for report, states that, at the re-vision of the Establishment and other charges of the Sri Narasimha swamy temple in Maddur which took place on the 27th January 1896, it was ordered by Government that, when the appointment of Parpathegar, held by the petitioner should become vacant it should not-

not be filled up; that the Par-
-pathegar's pay should be brought
under Savings; that the temple
Shanbhogue should, in addition
to his own duties, perform the
duty of the Parpathegar also with-
-out extra remuneration; that
the then Inspect Secretary has
also remarked that the petition-
-er was reported to be careless
in his duties and that he was
warned and that if he did not
improve his services should
be dispensed with, the temple
Shanbhogue being put in charge
of the Parpathegar's appointment
and the pay of the said appoint
-ment brought under Savings;
that the petitioner now urges
for the cancellation of the above
order on the score that the
Parpathegar's appointment which
he now holds is hereditary and
should be ordered to be continued
to his son after his (petitioner's)
death.

2. The Assistant Commissioner
while further adding that Govern
-ment have in several cases
held that the appointment
of the Parpathegar is not

a hereditary one reports that the petitioner does not reside in Maddur and is very careless in the discharge of his duties.

3. The Pantapathegar's transfer to Seringapatam Anna Chattram is moreover recommended by the Assistant Commissioner in another communication about which a separate report will be submitted to you.

4. The petitioner's statement and the Extract from the revise list are appended hereto.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

C Entwistle
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Cpd
BG.

Draft for approval

Muzrai

Read:-

Petition Regd no 4552/2079, dated 8th June 1905, addressed to H H the Maharaja by one Srinivasiah, Parpathegar of the Sri Narasimhaswamy temple at Maddur, Mandya Taluk, praying that the appointment of Parpathgar held by him may be made hereditary

Letter No 402, dated 3rd February 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting on the above

Order thereon:-

In the year 1896, when the Establishment and other charges of the Sri Narasimhaswamy temple at

No 3939 dt 15.3.06
Encl one F. file

11569
1306

Issued 3/3/06

Taluk were revised, the Govt observed that a Parpattegar was unnecessary for the temple and accordingly directed that when the said appointment, which was even then held by the petitioner, should become vacant, it should not be filled up and that the pay of Rs 2-8-0 attached to it should be brought under savings and credited to the funds of the temple It was further directed that the duties of the Parpattegar should be attended to by the temple Shanbhogue - in addition to his own, without any extra remuneration ~~what~~ The Petrs The orders already passed do not ~~the petitioner now urges for is practically~~ require re-consideration, and the ~~to cancel the orders already passed.~~ above. Petrs request that the Parpattegar's appt should ~~and this the Govt see no reason to do.~~ be treated as a hereditary one, cannot be complied with -

2. It is moreover reported that the petitioner does not reside at Maddur where he holds his appointment and that he

is otherwise careless in the discharge of his duties. ~~In these circs, the Deputy petitioner should be warned that if he does not mend himself he will make himself liable to be removed from his appointment.~~

The Dy Comr promises a separate report regarding his proposed transfer from mudkm. His report on his point is awaited

Secretary,
Mysore Deptt.

22/2/06 17/2/06

To
The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,
Returning enclosures.

Endorsement to petitioner.

Mysore

No. 3940 D/15.3.06

Endorsement granted to Srinivasiah, Parpattegar of the Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Maddur in the Mandya Taluk, with reference to his petition Regd No 4552/2019, dated 8th June 1905, addressed to H.H the Maharaja, praying that the appointment of Parpattega held by him may be made hereditary.

In reply, the petitioner is informed that his request cannot be complied with

By Order,

15/3/06

Mysore Secretary

9/3/06